

U.S. BANK NATIONAL ASSOCIATION,)	Case No. 2:17-cv-01677-JCM-NJK
Plaintiff(s),)	
v.)	ORDER
SFR INVESTMENTS POOL 1, LLC, et al.,)	(Docket No. 47)
Defendant(s).)	

Pending before the Court is Plaintiff's motion to stay discovery pending resolution of its motion for summary judgment. Docket No. 47. To grant such a motion, the Court must be convinced that the dispositive motion will be granted. *See, e.g., Kor Media Group, LLC v. Green*, 294 F.R.D. 579, 581 (D. Nev. 2013). The Court has rejected several similar motions to stay discovery, indicating that it is not so convinced based on contrary authority issued by United States District Judge James C. Mahan. *Bank of America, N.A. v. Treo N. & S. Homeowners' Ass'n*, 2017 U.S. Dist. Lexis 139662 (D. Nev. Aug. 30, 2017); *Bank of America, N.A. v. Imagination N. Landscape Maint. Ass'n*, 2017 U.S. Dist. Lexis 129398 (D. Nev. Aug. 14, 2017); *JPMorgan Chase Bank v. RHKids, LLC*, 2017 U.S. Dist. Lexis 123044 (D. Nev. Aug. 4, 2017); *Bank of N.Y. Mellon v. Vegas Prop. Servs.*, 2017 U.S. Dist. Lexis 66682 (D. Nev. May 2, 2017). Plaintiff does not acknowledge Judge Mahan's contrary decisions. *See* Docket No. 47 at 6. Especially given that Judge Mahan is the district judge assigned to this particular case, the Court remains unconvinced that the motion for summary judgment will be granted such that discovery is unnecessary. *See, e.g., Bayview Loan Serving, LLC v. SFR Investments Pool 1, LLC*, 2017 U.S. Dist. Lexis 41309, at *10-12 (D. Nev. Mar. 22, 2017).

1 Accordingly, the Court **DENIES** the motion to stay discovery.

2 IT IS SO ORDERED.

3 DATED: October 25, 2017

4 
5 _____
6 NANCY J. KOPPE
7 United States Magistrate Judge
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28